### Comparison of Travel-Time Definitions

S. Couvidat and the HMI Time-Distance Pipeline Team

LoHCo Meeting --- Stanford, 2009 March 12 - 13

#### Three travel-time definitions

Gabor Wavelet (Kosovichev & Duvall, 1997):

G = A exp[-δω<sup>2</sup>/4 (τ-τ<sub>g</sub>)<sup>2</sup>] cos[ω<sub>0</sub>(τ-τ<sub>p</sub>)]

Gizon & Birch (2002):

$$X_{\pm}(\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2},t) = \int dt f(t') [C(\mathbf{r_1},\mathbf{r_2},t)-Cref(\Delta,t'-t)]^2$$

 $\tau_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{r}_{_{1}},\boldsymbol{r}_{_{2}}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{_{t}} \{X_{\pm}(\boldsymbol{r}_{_{1}},\boldsymbol{r}_{_{2}},t)\}$ 

Gizon & Birch (2004):  $\tau_{\pm}(\mathbf{r}_{1},\mathbf{r}_{2}) = \int dt f(\pm t) \dot{C}_{ref}(\Delta,t) [C(r_{1},r_{2},t)-C_{ref}(\Delta,t)] / \int dt f(\pm t) [\dot{C}_{ref}(\Delta,t)]^{2}$ 

#### Mean and Difference Travel Times in Quiet Sun (I)

 $\Lambda = 6.2 \text{ Mm}$ 







-15.0

-20.0

-25.0

-30.0

-35.0

40.0

200

đ

-15.0

-20.0

-25.0

-30.0

-35.0

40.0



#### Mean and Difference Travel Times in Quiet Sun (II)

Δ= 30.55 Mm



x (Mm)

x (Mm)

x (Mm)

#### Mean and Difference Travel Times in Quiet Sun (III)



Black = GB02, Green= GB04, Red= Gabor

#### Mean and Difference Travel Times in Quiet Sun (IV)



#### Mean and Difference Travel Times in Quiet Sun (V)



#### Mean and Difference Travel Times in Quiet Sun (VI)



Solid = Gabor, dashed= GB02, dash-dotted= GB04 upper=mean, lower=difference Mean and Difference Travel Times in Active Region NOAA 9787 (preliminary results)







**GIZON & BIRCH (2002)** 

300

200 Þ

100

0

0

100

200

200

x (Mm)

300

x (Mm)

300

(WH)

40.0 35.0 26.0 26.0 16.0 10.0 5.0 -5.0 -10.0 -15.0 -25.0 -25.0 -35.0

40.0

40.0 35.0 225.0 25.0 10.0 5.0 -5.0 -10.0 -25.0 -25.0 -30.0 -35.0 -35.0 -40.0

ότ<sub>man</sub> (seconds)

бт<sub>лан</sub> (seconds)



200

x (Mm)

300





 $\begin{array}{c} 40.0\\ 35.0\\ 30.0\\ 25.0\\ 20.0\\ 15.0\\ 10.0\\ -5.0\\ -10.0\\ -5.0\\ -20.0\\ -20.0\\ -35.0\end{array}$ 

-40.0

9



40.0

35.0

30.0 25.0 25.0 10.0 5.0 -10.0 -15.0 -20.0 -25.0 -35.0 -35.0 -35.0 -35.0 -35.0 -35.0

ότ<sub>men</sub> (seconds)



**GIZON & BIRCH (2002)** 



300

200

100

n

0

100

(IMIII)

Þ



y (Mm)

y (Mm)









200

x (Mm)

300





















300

200

Û

0

(HH)

Þ. 100







200

x (Mm)

100







100

200

x (Mm)

GIZON & BIRCH (2002)

300

GIZON & BIRCH (2002)

300

200

100

0

A (Mm)

40.0 35.0 22.0 15.0 10.0 5.0 -5.0 -10.0 -15.0 -10.0 -20.0 -25.0 -25.0 -35.0 -35.0 -40.0

ότ<sub>απ</sub> (seconds)

ότ<sub>att</sub> (seconds)

ότ<sub>att</sub> (seconds)











٠.















OT HAND

(seconds)











300

200

100

0

0

y (Mm)





x (Mm)











x (Mm)

y (Mm)

x (Mm)

GABOR;01/25/2002

 $\begin{array}{c} 40.0\\ 35.0\\ 30.0\\ 25.0\\ 15.0\\ 10.0\\ 5.0\\ -15.0\\ -15.0\\ -20.0\\ -25.0\\ -30.0\\ -35.0\\ \end{array}$ 

40.0

δτ<sub>diff</sub> (seconds)



Ð

y (Mm)

y (Mm)









x (Mm)

Comparison of north-south difference travel times through horizontal flows added to a simulation of the solar convection

(S. Couvidat & A. Birch)

- Simulation of Stein, Nordlund, Georgobiani, & Benson (already used in local helioseismology by, e.g., Braun et al. (2007), Zhao et al. (2007), Georgobiani et al. (2007)
- power spectrum close to MDI
- 96x96x20 Mm<sup>3</sup>
- 8.5 hours of data
- dx=0.384 Mm, dt=60 s
- added steady southward uniform flows to the vertical velocity maps, using shift theorem in Fourier domain; 12 flow velocities
- worked with acoustic modes only (Jackiewicz et al., 2007, studied f-mode case)

- time-distance analysis performed with 2 kind of filters ("standard" ---values from T. Duvall--- and "broad" ---FWHM x4---) for 4 distances source-receiver

#### Uncertainty in the difference travel time with the phase time of the Gabor wavelet (I)



#### Uncertainty in the difference travel time with the phase time of the Gabor wavelet (II)

### At $\Delta$ =8.7 Mm with a 200 m/s southward flow

 $\tau_{ref} = 12.85 \text{ min}$ 

 $\tau_{ref} = 12.85 + 2\pi/\omega_{ref} = 16.95 \text{ min}$ 

 $\tau_{\text{North}} = 12.917 \text{ min}$  $\tau_{\text{South}} = 12.781 \text{ min}$   $\tau_{\text{North}} = 12.917 + 2\pi/\omega_{\text{North}} = 17.074 \text{ min}$  $\tau_{\text{South}} = 12.781 + 2\pi/\omega_{\text{South}} = 16.794 \text{ min}$ 

 $\delta \tau_{\rm NS} = 8.15 \text{ s} \qquad \qquad \delta \tau_{\rm NS} = 16.79 \text{ s}$ 

$$\delta$$
 τ<sub>NS</sub> not unique because  $\omega_{North} \neq \omega_{South}$ 

#### Uncertainty in the difference travel time with the phase time of the Gabor wavelet (III)

Ray-path kernels can be corrected to include this dependence on the reference phase time:  $\delta \tau_{NS} \sim -2 \int nU/c^2 ds + (\delta \omega_{S} - \delta \omega_{N})/\omega \tau_{D}$ 



## North-South travel-time difference in presence of flows (I)



# North-South travel-time difference in presence of flows (II) : frequency dependence



# North-South travel-time difference in presence of flows (III) : frequency dependence



#### Conclusion

- in quiet Sun the three definitions give very similar results

- in active region, Gabor and GB02 give similar results after crosscovariances have been normalized

- GB04, even with normalization, seems inadequate for active regions
- lack of uniqueness of phase travel time returned by Gabor wavelet can be problematic: the reference phase time used should always be mentioned
- if phase-speed filters are too narrow, Gabor and GB02 can return time differences not linear in the flow strength
- GB04 is never linear in the flow strength