

Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union

Date of delivery: 5	May	2016
---------------------	-----	------

Journal and vol/article ref: IAU 1600043

Number of pages (not including this page): 6

This proof is sent to you on behalf of Cambridge University Press. Please check the proofs carefully. Make any corrections necessary on a hardcopy and answer queries on each page of the proofs

Please return the **marked proof** within

days of receipt to:

Managing editor of this symposium

Authors are strongly advised to read these proofs thoroughly because any errors missed may appear in the final published paper. This will be your ONLY chance to correct your proof. Once published, either online or in print, no further changes can be made.

To avoid delay from overseas, please send the proof by airmail or courier.

If you have **no corrections** to make, please email **managing editor** to save having to return your paper proof. If corrections are light, you can also send them by email, quoting both page and line number.

• The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal. Only **one** set of corrections are permitted.

- Please answer carefully any author queries.
- Corrections which do NOT follow journal style will not be accepted.

• A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the typesetter is required.

If you do not send any corrections to the editor within 5 days, we will assume your proof is acceptable.

• If you have problems with the file please contact

lwebb@cambridge.org

Please note that this pdf is for proof checking purposes only. It should not be distributed to third parties and may not represent the final published version.

Important: you must return any forms included with your proof. We cannot publish your article if you have not returned your signed copyright form.

NOTE - for further information about **Journals Production** please consult our **FAQs** at http://journals.cambridge.org/production_faqs Author queries:

Typesetter queries:

Non-printed material:

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

27

High dispersion spectroscopy of solar-type superflare stars with Subaru/HDS †

Yuta Notsu^{1,*}, Satoshi Honda², Hiroyuki Maehara³, Shota Notsu¹, Takuya Shibayama⁴, Daisaku Nogami¹ and Kazunari Shibata⁵

*email: ynotsu@kwasan.kyoto-u.ac.jp

¹Department of Astronomy, Kyoto University, Kitashirakawa-Oiwake-cho, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, Japan, 606-8502

²Center for Astronomy, University of Hyogo, 407-2, Nishigaichi, Sayo-cho, Sayo, Hyogo, Japan, 679-5313

³Okayama Astrophysical Observatory, National Astronomical Observatory of Japan, 3037-5 Honjo, Kamogata, Asakuchi, Okayama, Japan, 719-0232

⁴Institute for Space-Earth Environmental Research, Nagoya University, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi, Japan, 464-8601

⁵Kwasan and Hida Observatories, Kyoto University, Yamashina-ku, Kyoto, Japan, 607-8471

15 Abstract. We carried out spectroscopic observations with Subaru/HDS of 50 solar-type superflare stars found from Kepler data. More than half (34 stars) of the target stars show no evidence 17 of the binary system, and we confirmed atmospheric parameters of these stars are roughly in 18 the range of solar-type stars.

We then conducted the detailed analyses for these 34 stars. First, the value of the " $v \sin i$ " (projected rotational velocity) measured from spectroscopic results is consistent with the rotational velocity estimated from the brightness variation. Second, there is a correlation between the amplitude of the brightness variation and the intensity of Ca II IR triplet line. All the targets expected to have large starspots because of their large amplitude of the brightness variation show high chromospheric activities compared with the Sun. These results support that the brightness variation of superflare stars is explained by the rotation of a star with large starspots.

26 Keywords. stars:activity, stars:flare, stars:rotation, stars:solar-type, stars:starspots

1. Introduction

Flares are energetic explosions in the stellar atmosphere, and are thought to occur by 28 intense releases of magnetic energy stored around starspots, like solar flares (e.g., Shi-29 bata & Magara 2011). Superflares are flares $10 \sim 10^6$ times more energetic ($\sim 10^{33-38}$ erg; 30 Schaefer et al. 2000) than the largest solar flares ($\sim 10^{32}$ erg). Recently, we analyzed the 31 data of the Kepler space telescope (Koch et al. 2010), and discovered more than 1000 32 33 superflares on a few hundred solar-type (G-type main-sequence) stars (Maehara et al. 34 2012, 2015; Shibayama et al. 2013; Candelaresi et al. 2014). We here define solar-type 35 stars as the stars that have a surface temperature of $5100 \leq T_{\rm eff} \leq 6000$ K and a surface gravity of $\log q \ge 4.0$. 36

With these data, we studied the statistical properties of the occurrence rate of superflares, and found that the occurrence rate (dN/dE) of the superflare versus the flare energy (E) has a power-law distribution of $dN/dE \propto E^{-\alpha}$, where $\alpha \sim 2$ (Maehara *et al.* 2012, 2015; Shibayama *et al.* 2013), and this distribution is roughly similar to that for the solar flare.

[†] This study is based on observational data collected with Subaru Telescope, which is operated by the National Astronomical Observatory of Japan. 42 Many of the superflare stars show quasi-periodic brightness variations with a typical pe-43 riod of from one day to a few tens of days. The amplitude of these brightness variations 44 is in the range of 0.1-10% (Maehara *et al.* 2012), and is much larger than that of the solar 45 brightness variation (0.01-0.1%) caused by the existence of sunspots on the rotating solar 46 surface. Notsu *et al.* (2013b) showed that the above brightness variations of superflare 47 stars can be well explained by the rotation of a star with fairly large starspots, taking 48 into account the effects of inclination angle and the spot latitude.

49 Notsu *et al.* (2013b) compared the superflare energy and frequency with the rotation period, assuming that the brightness variation corresponds to the rotation. They then 50 51 found slowly rotating stars can still produce as energetic flares as those of more rapidly 52 rotating stars, though the average flare frequency is lower for more slowly rotating stars. 53 Notsu *et al.* (2013b) also clarified that the superflare energy is related to the total cover-54 age of the starspots, and that the energy of superflares can be explained by the magnetic 55 energy stored around these large starspots. In addition, Shibata et al. (2013) suggested, 56 on the basis of theoretical estimates, that the Sun can generate large magnetic flux sufficient for causing superflares with an energy of 10^{34} erg within one solar cycle. 57

The results described above are, however, only based on Kepler monochromatic photometric data. We need to spectroscopically investigate whether these brightness variations are explained by the rotation, and whether superflare stars have large starspots. The stellar parameters and the binarity of the superflare stars are also needed to be investigated with spectroscopic observations in order to discuss whether the Sun can really generate superflares. We have then performed high-dispersion spectroscopy of superflares stars (50 stars in total). We describe the results of this observation in the following.

2. Observations

65

76

In this observation, we observed 50 solar-type superflare stars by using High Dispersion 66 67 Spectrograph (HDS; Noguchi et al. 2002) at the 8.2m Subaru telescope on 6 nights during 2011~2013 (Notsu et al. 2013a, 2015a & 2015b; Nogami et al. 2014; Honda et al. 68 69 2015). These 50 target stars were selected from superflare stars reported by our previous 70 researches (e.g., Shibayama et al. 2013). Spectroscopic resolution $(R = \lambda/\Delta\lambda)$ of each 71 observation date is $R = 50,000 \sim 100,000$. The wavelength range is $6100 \sim 8800$ Å. This 72 range includes Ca II near-IR triplet (8498/8542/8662Å) and H α (6563Å), which are well-73 known indicators of stellar chromospheric activity. More details of the observations and 74 the target stars are described in Notsu *et al.* (2015a).

75 **3. Results and discussion**

3.1. Stellar parameters

77 As a result of the observations, we found more than half (34 stars) of our 50 targets have no evidence of binary system (Notsu et al. 2015a). Among the remaining 16 stars, 78 79 12 stars show double line profiles or radial velocity shifts, which are expected be caused 80 by the orbital motion of binary system. The other 4 stars have visual companion stars. 81 We then estimated effective temperature (T_{eff}) , surface gravity $(\log g)$, metallicity ([Fe/H]), and projected rotation velocity $(v \sin i)$ of these 34 "single" superflare stars on the basis 82 83 of our spectroscopic data (Notsu *et al.* 2015a). We here estimated $v \sin i$ by measuring Doppler broadening of photospheric lines taking into account macroturbulence and in-84 85 sturumental broadening (cf. Takeda et al. 2008; Notsu et al. 2015a).

We confirmed that stellar atmospheric parameters $(T_{\text{eff}}, \log g, \text{and [Fe/H]})$ of the 34 target stars are roughly in the range of ordinary solar-type (G-type main sequence) stars. In particular, the temperature, surface gravity, and brightness variation period (P) of 9



Figure 1. Projected rotational velocity $(v \sin i)$ as a function of the stellar rotational velocity (v_{lc}) estimated from the period of the brightness variation and stellar radius. The typical error of v_{lc} is about $\pm 25\%$ of each value, considering errors of P and R_s (Notsu *et al.* 2015a). The solid line represents the case that our line of sight is vertical to the stellar rotation axis $(i = 90^\circ; v \sin i = v_{lc})$. We also plot three different lines, which correspond to smaller inclination angles $(i = 60^\circ, 30^\circ, 10^\circ)$. Filled triangles represent superflare stars whose inclination angle is especially small $(i \leq 13^\circ)$, while filled circles represent the other stars $(i > 13^\circ)$.

stars are in the range of "Sun-like" stars (5600 $\leq T_{\text{eff}} \leq 6000$ K, log $g \geq 4.0$, and P > 10days). Five of the 34 target stars are fast rotators ($v \sin i \geq 10$ km s⁻¹), while 22 stars have relatively low $v \sin i$ values ($v \sin i < 5$ km s⁻¹). These results suggest that stars whose spectroscopic properties similar to the Sun can have superflares, and this supports the hypothesis that the Sun might cause a superflare. In the following, we conducted the detailed analyses for these 34 single stars (Notsu *et al.* 2015b).

3.2. Rotational velocity and inclination angle

We here compare " $v \sin i$ " with the brightness variation period (P), and consider whether the brightness variation of superflare stars is explained by the rotation. Assuming that the brightness variations are caused by the rotation of the stars with starspots, we can estimate the rotational velocity (v_{lc}) from P and R_s (stellar radius) by using

$$v_{\rm lc} = \frac{2\pi R_{\rm s}}{P} \ . \tag{3.1}$$

100

95

101 In Figure 1, we plot $v \sin i$ as a function of the v_{lc} . Some data points in Figure 1 show 102 differences between the values of v_{lc} and $v \sin i$. The projected rotational velocity ($v \sin i$) 103 tends to be smaller than v_{lc} . Such differences should be explained by the inclination 104 effect, as in Notsu *et al.* (2013a). On the basis of $v \sin i$ and v_{lc} , the stellar inclination 105 angle (*i*) can be estimated by using the following relation:

$$i = \arcsin\left(\frac{v\sin i}{v_{\rm lc}}\right). \tag{3.2}$$

106 In Figure 1, we also show four lines indicating $i = 90^{\circ}$ $(v \sin i = v_{\rm lc})$, $i = 60^{\circ}$, $i = 30^{\circ}$, 107 and $i = 10^{\circ}$. This figure shows two following important results. First, for almost all 108 the stars (33 stars), the relation " $v \sin i \leq v_{\rm lc}$ " is satisfied. This is consistent with our 109 assumption that the brightness variation is caused by the rotation since the inclination 110 effect mentioned above can cause the relation " $v \sin i \leq v_{\rm lc}$ " if $v_{\rm lc}$ values really correspond 111 to the rotational velocities (i.e. $v = v_{\rm lc}$). This is also supported by another fact that



Figure 2. Scatter plot of the flare energy as a function of the spot coverage. The data of superflares on solar-type stars (filled squares) and solar flares (filled circles) in this figure are completely the same as those in Figure 10 of Notsu *et al.* (2013b). Thick and thin solid lines corresponds to the analytic relation between the spot coverage and the flare energy, which is obtained from Equation (14) of Notsu *et al.* (2013b) for B=3,000G and 1,000G. The thick and thin dashed lines correspond to the same relation in case of $i = 2^{\circ}$ (nearly pole-on) for B=3,000G and 1,000G. Open circle and triangle points on the filled squares represent the data points of the most energetic superflare event reported in Shibayama *et al.* (2013) of the 34 target superflare stars. Open triangles represent superflare stars whose inclination angle is especially small ($i \leq 13^{\circ}$), while open circles represent the others ($i > 13^{\circ}$). This classification is on the basis of Figure 1.

112 the distribution of the data points in Figure 1 are not random. Their distribution is 113 expected to be much more random if the brightness variations have no relations with the 114 stellar rotation. Second, stars that are distributed in the lower right side of Figure 1 are 115 expected to have small inclination angles and to be nearly pole-on stars. In this figure, 116 we distinguish such five stars with especially small inclination angle ($i \leq 13^{\circ}$) from the 117 other stars, using filled triangle data points.

118 We can confirm the above inclination effects from another point of view. Figure 2 is 119 a scatter plot of the flare energy of superflares and solar flares as a function of the spot coverage. The spot coverage of superflare stars is calculated from the amplitude of 120 121 stellar brightness variations. Thick and thin solid lines correspond to the analytic relation between the spot coverage and the flare energy, which is obtained from Equation (14) 122 123 of Notsu et al. (2013b) in case of $i = 90^{\circ}$ for B=3,000G and 1,000G. The thick and thin dashed lines correspond to the same relation in case of $i = 2^{\circ}$ (nearly pole-on) for 124 125 B=3.000G and 1.000G, assuming that the brightness variation becomes small as a result of the inclination effect. These lines are considered to give an upper limit of superflare 126



Figure 3. (a) $r_0(8542)$ as a function of the amplitude of stellar brightness variation ($\langle BVAmp \rangle$). The results of the target superflare stars are plotted, being classified into three groups on the basis of $v \sin i$ (projected rotation velocity). The solar value is plotted by using a circled dot point. (b) $\langle fB \rangle$ as a function of amplitude of stellar brightness variation. $\langle fB \rangle$ values are estimated from $r_0(8542)$ on the basis of the rough relation estimated in Notsu *et al.* (2015b).

127 energy for each inclination angle. Considering these things, the superflare stars located 128 in the upper left side of this figure are expected to have a low inclination angle. Open triangles in Figure 2 correspond to superflare stars whose inclination angle is especially 129 small $(i \leq 13^{\circ})$ on the basis of Figure 1, while open circles represent the other stars 130 $(i > 13^{\circ})$. This classification is the same as that in Figure 1. All of the five triangle data 131 points are located above the thick solid line ($i = 90^{\circ}$ and B=3000G). This means that 132 these stars are confirmed to have low inclination angle on the basis of both of the Figures 133 134 1 and 2. As a result, these two figures (Figures 1 and 2) are confirmed to be consistent. 135 In other words, the stellar projected rotational velocity spectroscopically measured is consistent with the rotational velocity estimated from the brightness variation. This fact 136 supports that the brightness variation of superflare stars is caused by the rotation. 137

3.3. Stellar chromospheric activity and starspots of superflare stars

139 In order to investigate the chromospheric activity of the target stars, we measured 140 $r_0(8498), r_0(8542), r_0(8662),$ and $r_0(H\alpha)$ index, which are the residual core flux nor-141 malized by the continuum level at the line cores of the Ca II IRT and H α , respectively. 142 These indexes are known to be good indicators of stellar chromospheric activity (e.g., 143 Takeda *et al.* 2010; Notsu *et al.* 2013a). As the chromospheric activity is enhanced, the 144 intensity of these indicators becomes large since a greater amount of emission from the 145 chromosphere fills in the core of the lines.

The $r_0(8542)$ values are plotted in Figure 3 (a) as a function of the amplitude of stellar 146 brightness variation ($\langle BVAmp \rangle$) of Kepler data. First, almost all the target superflare 147 stars are more active compared with the Sun from the viewpoint of the $r_0(8542)$ index. In 148 149 other words, the mean magnetic field strength of the target stars can be higher than that 150 of the Sun. Next, in this figure, there is a rough positive correlation between $r_0(8542)$ 151 and $\langle BVAmp \rangle$. Assuming that the brightness variation of superflare stars is caused by 152 the rotation of a star with starspots, the brightness variation amplitude ($\langle BVAmp \rangle$) corresponds to the starspot coverage of these stars. Then, we can say that there is a 153 rough positive correlation between the starspot coverage and chromospheric activity level 154 $(r_0(8542))$. This rough correlation shows us that all the target stars expected to have 155 156 large starspots on the basis of their large amplitude of the brightness variation show high magnetic activity compared with the Sun. In other words, our assumption that the 157 amplitude of the brightness variation correspond to the spot coverage is supported, since 158 high magnetic activity, which are confirmed by using $r_0(8542)$ values, are considered to 159

138

- be caused by the existence of large starspots. 160
- In Figure 3 (b), we also plot $\langle fB \rangle$ values as a function of $\langle BVAmp \rangle$. $\langle fB \rangle$ values are 161 estimated from $r_0(8542)$ on the basis of the rough relation estimated in Notsu *et al.* 162 (2015b) with spectroheliographic observation of a solar active region. With this figure, 163 164 we can see the same conclusion as we did with Figure 3 (a), though the errors of $\langle fB \rangle$ 165 values are a bit large especially for less active stars. We also investigated the emission flux of Ca II IRT and H α lines for reference in Notsu *et al.* (2015b), and confirmed basically 166 167 the same conclusions as we did here with $r_0(8542)$ index.

4. Summary 168

Superflares are very large flares that release total energy $10 \sim 10^4$ times greater than 169 that of the biggest solar flares ($\sim 10^{32}$ erg). Recent Kepler-space-telescope observations 170 found more than 1000 superflares on a few hundred solar-type stars. Such superflare stars 171 show quasi-periodic brightness variations with the typical period of from one to a few 172 tens of days. Such variations are thought to be caused by the rotation of a star with large 173 174 starspots. However, spectroscopic observations are needed in order to confirm whether the variation is really due to the rotation and whether superflares can occur on ordinary 175 176 single stars similar to our Sun.

- 177 We have carried out spectroscopic observations of 50 solar-type superflare stars with 178 Subaru/HDS. As a result, more than half (34 stars) of the target stars show no evidence 179 of the binary system, and we confirmed stellar atmospheric parameters of these stars are roughly in the range of solar-type stars on the basis of our spectroscopic data. 180
- We then conducted the detailed analyses for these 34 stars. First, the value of the $v \sin i$ 181 182 measured from spectroscopic results is consistent with the rotational velocity estimated 183 from the brightness variation. Next, we measured the intensity of Ca II IRT and H α lines, which are good indicators of stellar chromospheric activity. The intensity of these 184 lines indicates that the mean magnetic field strength $(\langle fB \rangle)$ of the target superflare 185 stars can be higher than that of the Sun. We found a correlation between the amplitude 186 187 of the brightness variation and the intensity of Ca II IRT. All the targets expected to have large starspots because of their large amplitude of the brightness variation show high 188 189 chromospheric activity compared with the Sun. These results support that the brightness 190 variation of superflare stars is explained by the rotation with large starspots.

References 191

- 192 Candelaresi, S., Hillier, A., Maehara, H., et al. 2014, ApJ, 792, 67
- 193 Honda, S., Notsu, Y., Maehara, H., et al. 2015, PASJ, 67, 85
- Koch, D. G., Borucki, W. J., Basri, G., et al. 2010, ApJ, 713, L79 194
- 195 Maehara, H., Shibayama, T., Notsu, S., et al. 2012, Nature, 485, 478
- 196 Maehara, H., Shibayama, T., Notsu, Y., et al. 2015, Earth. Planets and Space, 67, 59
- 197 Nogami, D., Notsu, Y., Honda, S., et al. 2014, PASJ, 2014, 66, L4
- 198 Noguchi, K., Aoki, W., Kawanomoto, S., et al. 2002, PASJ, 54, 855
- 199 Notsu, S., Honda, S., Notsu, Y., et al. 2013a, PASJ, 65, 112
- Notsu, Y., Shibayama, T., Maehara, H., et al. 2013b, ApJ, 771, 127 200
- 201 Notsu, Y., Honda, S., Maehara, H., et al. 2015a, PASJ, 67, 32
- 202 Notsu, Y., Honda, S., Maehara, H., et al. 2015b, PASJ, 67, 33
- 203 Schaefer, B. E., King, J. R., & Deliyannis, C. P. 2000, ApJ, 529, 1026
- 204 Shibata, K. & Magara, T. 2011, Living Rev. Sol. Phys, 8, 6 205
- Shibata, K., Isobe, H., Hiller, A., et al. 2013, PASJ, 65, 49
- 206 Shibayama, T., Maehara, H., Notsu, S., et al. 2013, ApJS, 209, 5 207 Takeda, Y., Sato, B., & Murata, D. 2008, PASJ, 60, 781
- 208
- Takeda, Y., Honda, S., Kawanomoto, S., et al. 2010, A&A, 515, A93