

Date of delivery:	: 5 May 2016
-------------------	--------------

CAMBRIDGE

Journal and vol/article ref: IAU 1600052

JOURNALS

Number of pages (not including this page): 4

This proof is sent to you on behalf of Cambridge University Press. Please check the proofs carefully. Make any corrections necessary on a hardcopy and answer queries on each page of the proofs

Please return the **marked proof** within

days of receipt to:

Managing editor of this symposium

Authors are strongly advised to read these proofs thoroughly because any errors missed may appear in the final published paper. This will be your ONLY chance to correct your proof. Once published, either online or in print, no further changes can be made.

To avoid delay from overseas, please send the proof by airmail or courier.

If you have **no corrections** to make, please email **managing editor** to save having to return your paper proof. If corrections are light, you can also send them by email, quoting both page and line number.

• The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal. Only **one** set of corrections are permitted.

- Please answer carefully any author queries.
- Corrections which do NOT follow journal style will not be accepted.

• A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the typesetter is required.

If you do not send any corrections to the editor within 5 days, we will assume your proof is acceptable.

• If you have problems with the file please contact

lwebb@cambridge.org

Please note that this pdf is for proof checking purposes only. It should not be distributed to third parties and may not represent the final published version.

Important: you must return any forms included with your proof. We cannot publish your article if you have not returned your signed copyright form.

NOTE - for further information about **Journals Production** please consult our **FAQs** at http://journals.cambridge.org/production_faqs Author queries:

Typesetter queries:

Non-printed material:

Hinode magnetic-field observations of solar flares for exploring the energy storage and trigger mechanisms

Toshifumi Shimizu¹³, Satoshi Inoue² and Yusuke Kawabata³¹

¹Institute of Space and Astronautical Science, Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency, 3-1-1 Yoshinodai, Chuo-ku, Sagamihara, Kanagawa, 252-5210, Japan email: shimizu@solar.isas.jaxa.jp

> ² Max-Planck Institute for Solar System Research, BT2.E4.120, Justus-von-Liebig-Weg 3 37077, Göttingen, Germany email: inoue@mps.mpg.de

³Department of Earth and Planetary Science, The University of Tokyo,

7-3-1 Hongo, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113-0033 Japan

email: kawabata.yusuke@ac.jaxa.jp

14 Abstract. The spectro-polarimeter in the Hinode Solar Optical Telescope (SOT) is one of the 15 powerful instruments for the most accurate measurements of vector magnetic fields on the solar 16 surface. The magnetic field configuration and possible candidates for flare trigger are briefly 17 discussed with some SOT observations of solar flare events, which include X5.4/X1.3 flares on 7 18 March 2012, X1.2 flare on 7 January 2014 and two M-class flares on 2 February 2014. Especially, 19 using an unique set of the Hinode and SDO data for the X5.4/X1.3 flares on 7 March 2012, we 20 briefly reviewed remarkable properties observed in the spatial distribution of the photospheric 21 magnetic flux, chromospheric flare ribbons, and the 3D coronal magnetic field structure inferred 22 by non-linear force-free field modeling with the Hinode photospheric magnetic field data.

23 Keywords. Sun: flares, Sun: magnetic fields, Sun: photosphere, Sun: chromosphere, Sun: corona

1. Introduction

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

24

25 Solar flares abruptly release the free energy stored as a non-potential magnetic field 26 in the corona and may be accompanied by eruptions of the coronal plasma. Magnetic reconnection is considered as a physical process in which the magnetic energy is efficiently 27 28 converted to kinetic and thermal energies. With the magnetic reconnection, particles in 29 ionized hot plasma are accelerated to non-thermal energy in a short time. Magnetic reconnection is a local process, while the magnetic structure in the corona is destabilized 30 with MHD processes in most of solar flares. The feedback process between magnetic 31 32 reconnection and MHD destabilization may develop solar flares dynamically and in large 33 scale, resulting in an eruption of magnetic flux ropes.

34 Before the occurrence of flares, the formation of non-potential magnetic field in the corona is associated with the temporal evolution in the spatial distribution of magnetic 35 flux observed at the solar surface, such as emergence, cancellation, and the horizontal 36 37 motions of magnetic flux. The location of magnetic reconnection in flaring magnetic struc-38 ture is difficult to identify directly because of low emission measure at the reconnection 39 region. Thus we are still lack of observational knowledge on the 3D magnetic configu-40 ration and physical conditions for leading to flares in the solar atmosphere, especially 41 triggering processes of flares.



Figure 1. Chromospheric flare ribbons (in yellow) on the spatial distribution of the vertical component of magnetic flux at the photosphere, measured with Hinode Solar Optical Telescope. White and black are positive and negative magnetic polarity, respectively. The red circle indicates the site where a high-speed material flow in the horizontally oriented magnetic field was observed with a small-scale trigger field. The arrow is the direction to the disk center.

42 Hinode, which was launched in September 2006, has three state-of-art advanced telescopes on board (Kosugi et al. 2007). Of which, Solar Optical Telescope (SOT) is the 43 44 largest solar telescope on orbit and performs accurate measurements of magnetic flux 45 and its properties at the solar surface with a sub-arcsec spatial resolution, although a 46 narrow field of view (Tsuneta et al. 2008, Suematsu et al. 2008, Shimizu et al. 2008, 47 Ichimoto et al. 2008). These accurate measurements of vector magnetic fields at the 48 solar photosphere would help us in exploring how the free energy is stored in the solar 49 atmosphere and how the release of the energy is triggered. This presentation reviewed 50 the magnetic field configuration and possible candidates for flare trigger primarily based 51 on Hinode observations of some large flare events, which included X5.4/X1.3 flares on 7 52 March 2012, X1.2 flare on 7 January 2014 and two M-class flares on 2 February 2014.

2. X class flares on 7 March 2012

53

54 The 7 March 2012 events were observed in an active region with delta-type sunspots, showing a strong shear in the entire magnetic system. In Fig. 1, yellow contours give 55 the footpoint location of flaring coronal structure, so-called flare ribbons, at the start 56 57 of the X5.4 flare. The flare ribbons appeared in a sheared position. For the sheared 58 magnetic structure, the inclusion of a small-scale trigger field was identified near the 59 polarity inversion line with excitation of a high-speed material flow in the horizontally oriented magnetic field formed nearly in parallel to the polarity inversion line (Shimizu 60 61 et al. 2014). The location of these features is specified by the red circle. The observations

suggest that gas dynamics at the solar surface play a vital role in leading to the onset of flares.

SDO/AIA movies showed how the coronal magnetic field surrounding the flare site was activated and was evolved toward sudden launch of a plasma eruption. The speed of the plasma ejection was increased in a short time to about 900 km/s, which is the Alfvenic speed in the corona. The ejected plasma was also observed as a halo CME in the SoHO/LASCO coronagraph field of view. The arrival of protons at the earth was observed within 6-12 hours with the GOES particle monitors.

A couple of the photospheric vector magnetic field maps derived with accurate mea-70 71 surements of Stokes parameters with the spectro-polarimeter (Lites et al. 2013) in SOT 72 were used to infer the 3D coronal magnetic field with non-linear force-free field (NLFFF) 73 modeling (Inoue et al. 2014). The derived results show that low-lying coronal field lines 74 are highly sheared along the polarity inversion line. The spatial distribution of magnetic 75 twist, which is how many turns each field line has from one photospheric footpoint to the 76 other footprint, showed that high values (mostly in between 0.5 and 1 turns) in magnetic 77 twist are distributed at two elongated areas in parallel to the polarity inversion line. 78 The comparison of the two twist maps, derived with two vector magnetic field maps at 79 about two hours before and after the onset of the flare, shows a variety of changes in 80 the spatial distribution of magnetic twist; The decrease of magnetic twist was primarily 81 observed in the area closer to the polarity inversion line around the site marked 82 by the red circle in Fig. 1, while slight increases were observed at the outer areas apart 83 from the polarity inversion line. This comparison shows how the relaxation took place 84 in the highly sheared magnetic system with the occurrence of the flares. The magnetic 85 twist map was also compared with the temporal evolution in the position of bright flare ribbons, which moved outward from the polarity inversion line as the time went during 86 87 the flares. The amount of the magnetic twist existing in the location of bright flare ribbons may be in good agreement with the amount of released energy during the flares. 88 The temporal profile of soft X-ray flux showed three peaks during the flares, indicating 89 90 existence of the three timings in which the energy is abruptly released. The timing of 91 three enhancements in the temporal evolution of the amount of magnetic twist existing 92 in the location of bright flare ribbons is roughly matched with the timing of three soft 93 X-ray peaks, suggesting the importance of magnetic twist for energy storage. Details of 94 the results will be published in a referred journal.

3. Other flares in January-February 2014

The 7 January 2014 event is an exceptional event which most scientists would not be able to predict its occurrence. The flare unexpectedly happened apart from the sheared magnetic field region. The M-class flares on 2 February 2014 were observed in the magnetic field configuration, in which four magnetic domains were distributed on the solar surface and an X-shaped point may be formed among the magnetic field lines originating from the four magnetic domains, according to the NLFFF modeling. Details of the results can be found in Kawabata (2016), which should be appeared in a refereed journal later.

103 Acknowledgements

62 63

64 65

66

67

68 69

95

Hinode is a Japanese mission developed and launched by ISAS/JAXA, with NAOJ as
domestic partner and NASA and STFC (UK) as international partners. It is operated by
these agencies in co-operation with ESA and NSC (Norway). We also gratefully acknowledge NASA's Solar Dynamics Observatory and the HMI science team for providing the
magnetograms. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23540278

and 15H05750. This work is a preview for our coming researches under a new japanese nation-wide project, Project for Solar-Terrestrial Environment Prediction (PSTEP), one of which goals is to make a major improvement in our fundamental knowledge on the energy storage and trigger mechanisms of solar flares toward modeling and predicting dynamical variability in the solar terrestrial environment, so-called space weather in the future.

115 References

- 116 Ichimoto, K. et al. 2008, Solar Phys., 249, 233
- 117 Inoue, S. et al. 2014, Astrophys. J., 780, 101
- 118 Kawabata, Y. 2016, Master thesis, The University of Tokyo
- 119 Kosugi, T. et al. 2007, Solar Phys., 243, 3
- 120 Lites, B. W. et al. 2013, Solar Phys., 283, 579
- 121 Shimizu, T. et al. 2008, Solar Phys., 249, 221
- 122 Shimizu, T., Lites, B. W., & Bamba, Y. 2014, PASJ, 66 (SP1), S14
- 123 Suematsu, Y. et al. 2008, Solar Phys., 249, 197
- 124 Tsuneta, S. et al. 2008, Solar Phys., 249, 167

176