Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union

Date of delivery: 5 N	Aay 2016
-----------------------	----------

CAMBRIDGE

Journal and vol/article ref: IAU 1600208

JOURNALS

Number of pages (not including this page): 3

This proof is sent to you on behalf of Cambridge University Press. Please check the proofs carefully. Make any corrections necessary on a hardcopy and answer queries on each page of the proofs

Please return the **marked proof** within

days of receipt to:

Managing editor of this symposium

Authors are strongly advised to read these proofs thoroughly because any errors missed may appear in the final published paper. This will be your ONLY chance to correct your proof. Once published, either online or in print, no further changes can be made.

To avoid delay from overseas, please send the proof by airmail or courier.

If you have **no corrections** to make, please email **managing editor** to save having to return your paper proof. If corrections are light, you can also send them by email, quoting both page and line number.

• The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal. Only **one** set of corrections are permitted.

- Please answer carefully any author queries.
- Corrections which do NOT follow journal style will not be accepted.

• A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the typesetter is required.

If you do not send any corrections to the editor within 5 days, we will assume your proof is acceptable.

• If you have problems with the file please contact

lwebb@cambridge.org

Please note that this pdf is for proof checking purposes only. It should not be distributed to third parties and may not represent the final published version.

Important: you must return any forms included with your proof. We cannot publish your article if you have not returned your signed copyright form.

NOTE - for further information about **Journals Production** please consult our **FAQs** at http://journals.cambridge.org/production_faqs Author queries:

Typesetter queries:

Non-printed material:

Dark Feature in EUV Post-flare Loops

Qiao Song^{1,2,3}, Mei Zhang³, Jin-Song Wang¹, Xue-Shang Feng² and Xiao-Xin Zhang¹

> ¹Key Laboratory of Space Weather, National Center for Space Weather, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081, China. email: gsong@nao.cas.cn

²State Key Laboratory of Space Weather, National Space Science Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China.

³Key Laboratory of Solar Activity, National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100012, China.

11 Abstract. Post-flare loops (PFLs) usually appear in the late phase of eruptive flares as an 12 arcade-like loop system. The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA) on-board the Solar Dy-13 namics Observatory (SDO) delivers continuously high temporal and spatial resolution extreme 14 ultraviolet (EUV) observations, providing a unique chance to study the PFLs. In this work, 15 we use SDO/AIA high-quality EUV images to study the dark loop-like features in post-flare 16 loops (DPFLs) of an X5.4 flare. Our analysis shows that: 1) the DPFLs are darker than their 17 surrounding and the bright loops, but are brighter than the EUV background; 2) the DPFLs appear in multiple EUV channels, which indicates that they are absorption features; 3) the 18 19 DPFLs are associated with downflows that are caused by the thermal instability in the cooling 20 process of the flare.

21 Keywords. Solar flare, Post-flare loop

22 **1. Introduction**

1

2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10

Post-flare loops (PFLs) usually appear in the late phase of eruptive flares as an arcade-23 24 like loop system. PFLs were first found in H α observations in 1960s and then in other wavelengths (Bruzek 1964; Sheelev et al. 1975; Kahler 1977). According to the standard 25 26 flare model, PFLs are a surface phenomenon presented in the cooling process of a flare (Forbes & Acton 1996; Priest & Forbes 2002). The twisted magnetic field in active regions 27 provides tremendous energies to heat the plasmas during the flares (Schrijver et al. 2008; 28 29 Song et al. 2013). When these plasmas get cooling down in the corona, the PFLs appear to grow in a particular wavelength, such as $H\alpha$, extreme ultraviolet (EUV), and X-ray. 30

The Atmospheric Imaging Assembly (AIA, Lemen *et al.* 2012) on-board the *Solar Dynamics Observatory (SDO*, Pesnell *et al.* 2012) opens a new window for EUV observations of PFLs, especially for dark features that deserve further studies. This work focuses on the dark loop-like features of PFLs in *SDO*/AIA EUV images, which are called dark post-flare loops (DPFLs) hereafter. In the next sections, we first outline the data used in this work in Section 2, then present the results in Section 3, and a brief summary is given in Section 4.

38 2. Data

This work uses high-cadence (12 s) and high-resolution $(0.6'' \text{ pixel}^{-1})$ EUV observations of NOAA active region (AR) 11429 obtained by SDO/AIA on 2012 March 7. AR



Figure 1. SDO/AIA multiband EUV observations of dark post-flare loops (DPFLs) in the active region NOAA 11429 around 02:44 UT on 2012 March 7.

11429 was on the solar disk that day, and it is convenient to use SDO/HMI longitudinal magnetograms as a reference. We run standard routines, such as aia_prep.pro and
hmi_prep.pro, in the Solar SoftWare (SSW) to convert level 1 data to level 1.5 and correct
the solar rotation.

3. Results

45

An X5.4 flare erupted from AR 11429 at 00:02 UT on 2012 March 7. About 40 minutes 46 47 later, the first DPFL appeared in the post-flare loops of the flare. These dark loop-like 48 features could be found in multiple SDO/AIA channels. However, the DPFLs appear 49 sharper and clearer in the 171 Å channel, and we use this channel to identify them one 50 by one for a period of about 4 hours. Figure 1 presents (by yellow dotted lines) seven of these DPFLs in 171 Å, 211 Å, 193 Å, and 304 Å channels. The DPFLs are darker than 51 their surrounding and the bright loops such as B1, but are brighter than the background 52 53 (BG). It indicates that the DPFLs were absorption features.

The evolution of a DPFL is displayed in Figure 2. It formed near the top of a loop around 02:14 UT, then grew to the footpoint of the loop, and finally disappeared around 02:44 UT. Its lifetime was about 30 minutes, which is longer than the average lifetime of DPFLs. The moving features along the loop suggest that they were downflows from the loop top to the loop footpoint. Calculations suggest that these downflows are condensations caused by thermal instability in the cooling process of the flare.



Figure 2. Evolution of a DPFL in *SDO*/AIA 171 Å images.

4. Summary

60

61 We use SDO/AIA high-quality EUV images to study dark loop-like features in post-62 flare loops (DPFLs) of an X5.4 flare. Following results are obtained: 1) the DPFLs are 63 darker than their surrounding and the bright loops, but are brighter than the EUV background; 2) the DPFLs are found in multiple EUV channels, which indicates that 64 65 they are absorption features; 3) the DPFLs are related to the downflows that are caused by thermal instability in the cooling process of the flare. The absorption in DPFLs may 66 be caused by hydrogen and helium in the cooling process, which was found in previous 67 work made by SOHO/EIT and TRACE (Anzer & Heinzel 2005). More examples and 68 analysis are undertaking and will be presented in a future paper. 69

70 Acknowledgements

The authors sincerely thank Prof. Petr Heinzel for his helpful comments. We gratefully
thank Prof. J. Zhang, Dr. Y. Z. Zhang, and Dr. G. P. Zhou for inspiring the original idea
and giving many useful advices to this work. The work is supported by the National Hightech R&D Program of China (2012AA121000), the National Basic Research Program of
China (2011CB811403), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (41404136,
40931056, 11125314, 11221063 and 11373004).

77 References

- 78 Anzer, U. & Heinzel, P. 2005, *ApJ*, 622, 714
- 79 Bruzek, A. 1964, *ApJ*, 140, 746
- 80 Forbes, T. G. & Acton, L. W. 1996, *ApJ*, 459, 330
- 81 Kahler, S. 1977, *ApJ*, 214, 891
- 82 Lemen, J. R., Title, A. M., & Akin, D. J., et al. 2012, Solar Physics, 275, 17
- 83 Pesnell, W. D., Thompson, B. J., & Chamberlin, P. C. 2012, Solar Physics, 275, 3
- 84 Priest, E. R. & Forbes, T. G. 2002, A&A Rev., 10, 313
- 85 Schrijver, C. J., De Rosa, M. L., & Metcalf, T., et al. 2008, ApJ, 675, 1637
- 86 Sheeley, N. R., Jr., Bohlin, J. D., & Brueckner, G. E., et al. 1975, Solar Physics, 45, 377
- Song, Q., Zhang, J., Yang, S. H., & Liu, Y. 2013, Research in Astronomy and Astrophysics, 13,
 226