

JOURNALS

Proceedings of the International Astronomical Union

Date of delivery: 5 N	Aay 2016
------------------------------	----------

CAMBRIDGE

Journal and vol/article ref: IAU 1600865

Number of pages (not including this page): 3

This proof is sent to you on behalf of Cambridge University Press. Please check the proofs carefully. Make any corrections necessary on a hardcopy and answer queries on each page of the proofs

Please return the **marked proof** within

days of receipt to:

Managing editor of this symposium

Authors are strongly advised to read these proofs thoroughly because any errors missed may appear in the final published paper. This will be your ONLY chance to correct your proof. Once published, either online or in print, no further changes can be made.

To avoid delay from overseas, please send the proof by airmail or courier.

If you have **no corrections** to make, please email **managing editor** to save having to return your paper proof. If corrections are light, you can also send them by email, quoting both page and line number.

• The proof is sent to you for correction of typographical errors only. Revision of the substance of the text is not permitted, unless discussed with the editor of the journal. Only **one** set of corrections are permitted.

- Please answer carefully any author queries.
- Corrections which do NOT follow journal style will not be accepted.

• A new copy of a figure must be provided if correction of anything other than a typographical error introduced by the typesetter is required.

If you do not send any corrections to the editor within 5 days, we will assume your proof is acceptable.

• If you have problems with the file please contact

lwebb@cambridge.org

Please note that this pdf is for proof checking purposes only. It should not be distributed to third parties and may not represent the final published version.

Important: you must return any forms included with your proof. We cannot publish your article if you have not returned your signed copyright form.

NOTE - for further information about **Journals Production** please consult our **FAQs** at http://journals.cambridge.org/production_faqs Author queries:

Typesetter queries:

Non-printed material:

Conditions for the existence of Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in a CME

Andrés Páez¹, Vera Jatenco-Pereira¹, Diego Falceta-Gonçalves² and Merav Opher³

¹Universidade de São Paulo, Instituto de Astronomia, Geofísica e Ciências Atmosféricas, Rua do Matão 1226, São Paulo, SP, 05508-090, Brazil email: andresspaez@usp.br

> ²Universidade de São Paulo, Escola de Artes, Ciências e Humanidades, Rua Arlindo Bettio 1000, São Paulo, SP, 03828-000, Brazil email: dfalceta@usp.br

> > ³Astronomy Department, Boston University, Boston, MA 02215, USA email: mopher@bu.edu

14 Abstract. The presence of Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (KHI) in the sheaths of Coronal Mass 15 Ejections (CMEs) has been proposed and observed by several authors in the literature. In the present work, we assume their existence and propose a method to constrain the local properties, 16 17 like the CME magnetic field intensity for the development of KHI. We study a CME in the 18 initiation phase interacting with the slow solar wind (Zone I) and with the fast solar wind (Zone 19 II). Based on the theory of magnetic KHI proposed by Chandrasekhar (1961) we found the 20 radial heliocentric interval for the KHI existence, in particular we constrain it with the CME magnetic field intensity. We conclude that KHI may exist in both CME Zones but it is perceived 21 22 that Zone I is more appropriated for the KHI formation.

23 Keywords. Sun, Coronal mass ejection, Kelvin-Helmholtz instability.

1. Introduction

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

24

25

26 27

28

29 30

31

32 33 Coronal Mass Ejections (CMEs) are one of the strongest ejections $(10^{31} \text{ ergs} \text{ and } 10^{15} \text{ g})$, originated at the solar surface. A normal CME has a three-part structure morphology: core, cavity and frontal loop (Illing & Hundhausen 1985). A CME propagates with velocities of 20 to 3200 km/s (Brueckner *et al.* 1995), expands with velocities approximately twice radial velocity (Rigozo, Dal Lago & Nordeman 2011) and deflects in the interplanetary space with magnitude and direction depending mainly on the CME mass and velocity (Kay, Opher & Evans 2015). The CME propagation allows shock wave formation ahead of the ejection, and the CME-sheath formation by the plasma compression behind the shock.

The Kelvin-Helmholtz instability (hereafter KHI), is a fast phenomenon in the boundary interface between two fluids developed mainly by the existence of a velocity gradient or velocity shear. The velocity shear provides kinetic energy to the boundary surface of the fluids allowing the formation of the rolled-up KH vortex. The KHI can be affected by the topology and magnitude of the magnetic field (Chandrasekhar 1961). A magnetic field parallel to the interface of the fluids is critical for the KHI development.

Foullon *et al.* (2011) analyzed the fast CME of November 3 of 2010 occurred near the southeast of the solar limb in the active region (AR) NOAA 11121 where is clear the formation of the flux rope. They considered the development of the KHI in the north flank of the ejection in distances of 150 Mm above the solar surface. Foullon *et al.* (2013)



Figure 1. A cartoon showing the CME Zones I and II the regions of our study. Zone I represents the interaction with slow solar wind (latitudes $\phi \gtrsim 0^{\circ}$) and Zone II the interaction with the fast solar wind ($\phi \gtrsim 30^{\circ}$). The shaded area represents the CME sheath.

44 made a spectral analysis to explore the CME plasma structure to corroborate the features 45 observed by Foullon et al. (2011). Nykyri & Foullon (2013) showed with 2.5-D magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) simulations the development of the KHI in the conditions of the 46 47 ejecta of November 3 of 2010. With this work they showed the first calculus for determin-48 ing the magnetic fields appropriated for the KHI development between the sheath and 49 its CME in distances of the low corona. A similar situation observed by Foullon (2011) 50 is shown in Figure 1. The regions of our study are represented by Zones I and II. The first one represents the interaction with slow solar wind (latitudes $\phi \gtrsim 0^{\circ}$) and Zone II 51 the interaction with the fast solar wind ($\phi \gtrsim 30^{\circ}$). The shaded area represents the CME 52 53 sheath.

In this paper, we implement a method for finding the distances in which heliospheric distances the KHI exists. We study a CME in the initiation phase in interaction with the slow solar wind (Zone I) and with the fast solar wind (Zone II).

2. Model

54

55

56

57

61

63

We adopt the condition of existence of the magnetic KHI proposed by Chandrasekhar 58 (1961) to the interaction between solar wind and a CME, in an infinitely thin sheath. We 59 assumed a electron-proton plasma, with densities $\rho = \rho_e + \rho_p$, with the approximations, 60 $\rho_e \approx n_e m_e, \, \rho_p \approx n_p m_p \, (m_e, \, m_p \text{ are electron and proton masses}), \, \text{and quasi-neutrality}$ 62 MHD, $n_e \approx n_p \approx n$:

$$\left(U_{SW} - U_{CME}\right)^2 > \frac{n_{SW} + n_{CME}}{m_p \ n_{SW} \ n_{CME}} \left(B_{SW}^2 + B_{CME}^2\right),\tag{2.1}$$

where U_{SW} , U_{CME} , n_{SW} , n_{CME} , B_{SW} and B_{CME} are solar wind and CME velocities, 64 numerical densities and magnetic field, respectively. 65

We have constructed velocity functions for the solar wind slow and fast using a profile hyperbolic tangent, $U_{SW}^{Slow,Fast}(r) = a tanh[(r-1)/b] + d \ (\mathrm{km\,s^{-1}})$ (Coles *et al.* 1991), 66 67 with the transformation: tanh(r-1) to initiate at the solar surface. We adjust the 68 constants a, b and d in there different points, the solar surface, $1R_{\odot}$ with $U_{SW}^{Slow,Fast}$ 69 velocities taken from Harra et al. (2008), He et al. (2010), $3R_{\odot}$ from Quémerais et al. 70 71 (2007), Antonucci, Dodero & Giordano (2000) and $40R_{\odot}$, where we assume a constant 72 value for the slow and fast solar wind. For the numerical densities of the slow and fast 73 solar wind, we use mass conservation law where the values at the solar surface were taken from Fludra et al. (1999). For the magnetic field of the solar wind we use conservation of 74

Table 1. Values for slow and fast solar wind velocities in three heliocentric distances r = 1, 3 and $40 R_{\odot}$, values for the constants a, b and d, values for the densities and for the magnetic fields at $r = 1 R_{\odot}$.

U_{SW}	r=1	$r = 3 \mid r$	r = 40	a	b	d	n_{SW}	(cm^{-3})		B_{SW}	(G)
U^{Slow}	100	200	400	299.9	5.77	100	n ^{Slow}	$= 2 \times 10$) ⁸ ∥ 1	B^{Slow}	= 2.2
U^{Fast}	250	400	750	497	6.42	$\left\ 250 \right\ $	n^{Fast}	$= 3 \times 10$) ⁸	B^{Fast}	= 6

magnetic flux law where the values at the solar surface were evaluated from (Manchester $et \ al. \ 2004$) see Table 1.

For the existence of the KHI we are interested only in the Zones I and II where both magnetic fields of slow and fast solar wind and CME are parallel. In these situations we have a sheath with higher density, which we suppose to have a constant value of $n_{sheath} = 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ (Hannah & Kontar 2013).

3. Results and Conclusions

Constraint the intervals of heliocentric distances where de KHI can exists, r_{KH} , we have to find the values of velocities and densities for slow and fast solar wind and CME that satisfies the equation (2.1), linked with the value of CME magnetic field intensity. We found that in the Zone I the KHI can exists in the heliocentric distances of $0.15R_{\odot} \leq r_{KH}^{Slow} \leq 0,61R_{\odot}$ linked to $1G \leq B_{CME}^{Slow} \leq 1.81G$. In Zone II the KHI can exists for distances $r_{KH}^{Fast} \leq 1.41R_{\odot}$ linked to $1G \leq B_{CME}^{Fast} \leq 2.88G$. The interval for r_{KH}^{Slow} is in accordance with the results obtained by Foullon *et al.* (2011) and the values for B_{CME}^{Slow} is in agreement with the work of Möstl, Temmer & Veronig (2013).

90 References

- 91 Antonucci, E., Dodero, M. A., & Giordano, S. 2000, Solar Phys., 197, 115
 - Brueckner, G. E., Howard, R. A., & Koomen, M. J., et al. 1995, Solar Phys., 162, 357
- 93 Chandrasekhar, S. 1961, Hydrodynamic and hydromagnetic stability, International Series of
 94 Monographs on Physics, Oxford: Clarendon.
- 95 Coles, W. A., Esser, R., Lvhaug, U.-P., & Markkanen, J. 1991, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 13849
- Fludra, A., Del Zanna, G., Alexander, D., & Bromage, B. J. I. 1999, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 97
 9709
- 98 Foullon, C., Vernichte, E., Nakariakov, V. M., Nykyri, K., & Farrugia, C. J. 2011, ApJ., 729, L8
- Foullon, C., Vernichte, E., Nykyri, K., Aschwanden, M. J., & Hannah, I. G. 2013, ApJ, 767, 170
 Hannah, I. G. & Kontar, E. P. 2013, A&A, 553, A10
- 101 Harra, L. K., Sakao, T., & Mandrini, C. H., et al. 2008, ApJ, 676, L147
- 102 He, J.-S., Marsch, E., Tu, C.-Y., Guo, L.-J., & Tian, H. 2010, A & A, 516, A14
- 102 III, 5.5., Matsch, E., 10, 0.-1., 000, 1.-5., 000 III, 11.2010, ACA, 510, A1
- 103 Illing, R. M. E. & Hundhausen, A. J. 1985, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 90, 490
- 104 Kay, C., Opher, M., & Evans, R. M. 2015, *ApJ*, 805, 168
- Manchester, W. B., Gombosi, T. I., Roussev, I. de Zeeuw, D. L., Sokolov, I. V., Powell, K. G.,
 Tóth, G., & Opher, M. 2004, J. Geophys, Res., 109, 1102
- 107 Möstl, U. V., Temmer, M., & Veronig, A. M. 2013, *ApJ*, 766, L12
- 108 Nykyri, K. & Foullon, C. 2013, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 40, 4154
- 109 Quémerais, E., Lallement, R., Koutroumpa, D., & Lamy, P. 2007, ApJ, 667, 1229
- 110 Rigozo, N. R., Dal Lago, A., & Nordeman, D. J. R. 2011, ApJ, 738, 107

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

92